BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP

David Boies (pro hac vice) 333 Main Street Armonk, NY 10504 (914) 749-8200 dboies@bsfllp.com

Maxwell V. Pritt (SBN 253155) Joshua M. Stein (SBN 298856) 44 Montgomery Street, 41st Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 (415) 293-6800 mpritt@bsfllp.com jstein@bsfllp.com

Jesse Panuccio (*pro hac vice*) 1401 New York Ave, NW Washington, DC 20005 (202) 237-2727 jpanuccio@bsfllp.com

Joshua I. Schiller (SBN 330653) David L. Simons (*pro hac vice*) 55 Hudson Yards, 20th Floor New York, NY 10001 (914) 749-8200 jischiller@bsfllp.com dsimons@bsfllp.com

Interim Lead Counsel for Individual and Representative Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

RICHARD KADREY, et al.,

Individual and Representative Plaintiffs,

v.

META PLATFORMS, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:23-cv-03417-VC

ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE EXCESS PAGES AND TO ESTABLISH PRIIVLEGE BRIEFING SCHEDULE

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-3, Plaintiffs Richard Kadrey, Sarah Silverman, Christopher Golden, Michael Chabon, Ta-Nehisi Coates, Junot Diaz, Christopher Golden, Richard Greer, David Henry Hwang, Matthew Klam, Laura Lippman, Rachel Louise Snyder, Ayelet Waldman, Jacqueline Woodson, and Christopher Farnsworth (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), respectfully submit this Administrative Motion requesting leave to file letter briefs concerning the adequacy of Defendant Meta Platform, Inc.'s ("Meta's") amended privilege logs and assertions of attorney-client privilege and work product with expanded page limits and lifted exhibit restrictions, and to establish a sequential briefing schedule.

On Wednesday, November 6, 2024, Judge Chhabria issued an order extending the deadline for submitting letter briefs on "existing written discovery" to November 8, 2024. Dkt. No. 258. On Tuesday, November 5, before that order was executed, Plaintiffs initiated contact with Meta regarding submission of a joint letter brief concerning the adequacy of Meta's amended privilege logs and Meta's privilege assertions. This briefing is not a surprise; the parties have exchanged extensive meet-and-confer letters on these topics for the last two months and have met and conferred twice. The briefing is also timely. While Meta now argues that Plaintiffs waived this briefing because privilege issues were not raised by the October 16 deadline to "Meet and Confer re: Existing Written Discovery," this ignores the following critical facts:

- The parties already met and conferred on September 24, 2024 regarding Meta's privilege log, and Plaintiffs' thorough October 23, 2024 letter plainly put Meta on notice of those issues once again. Meta responded to that letter in writing on November 1, 2024.
- The timeline concerning "existing written discovery" was not intended to address privilege issues at all. Prior schedule proposals included a joint deadline for filing briefs related to existing written discovery *and* privilege logs, but the "privilege logs" portion was later removed, *at Meta's request*. Pritt Decl., Ex. A at 5, 7. Indeed, prior iterations of the schedule placed a (also removed) meet-and-confer on privilege issues *after* both the separate deadline to meet and confer regarding "existing written

discovery" and the deadline for filing letter briefs on "existing written discovery." If privilege issues were intended to be governed by the "existing written discovery" deadline, then this meet-and-confer schedule would have made no sense whatsoever.

• Through the course of the parties' exchange of letters in late October and early November, Meta agreed to take remedial action on certain of the disputed issues. Further, the scope of the remaining privilege-related disputes only became clear when Meta sent its updated position letter on November 1, which confirmed where the parties truly were at impasse. Filing an earlier letter brief just to avoid "time-barring" would have instead presented unripe discovery issues to the Court where the parties were still working to agree on remedial action.

As mentioned above, privilege-related issues are not covered by the deadlines for "existing written discovery." Nevertheless, Plaintiffs engaged in extensive negotiations with Meta in an effort to find a mutually agreeable way to brief the remaining privilege issues by November 8. Those negotiations included proposals to engage in sequential letter briefing to afford Meta additional time to prepare a response, as well as proposals to extend page and exhibit limits such that all briefing could be accomplished at once instead of in numerous 2.5-page letter briefs. Unfortunately, despite much back-and-forth, the parties were unable to reach an agreement on a joint briefing schedule.

Because Meta will invariably argue time-barring once again if Plaintiffs wait any longer to raise existing privilege disputes, Plaintiffs have no choice but to submit a unilateral letter brief on November 8. Plaintiffs' brief, along with accompanying exhibits, is filed concurrently with this administrative motion.

Plaintiffs request the following administrative relief from the Court, which seeks the same page limits for the opening brief and response brief that Meta offered during the parties' negotiations, and the same deadline for Meta's response that Meta offered during the parties' negotiations:

- (1) Leave to file a 10-page privilege letter brief along with additional exhibits of any type; and
- (2) Establishment of the letter briefing schedule detailed in the following chart.

Plaintiffs' Opening Letter Brief	Friday, Nov. 8	Up to 10 pages
Meta's Response Letter Brief	Thursday, Nov. 14	Up to 10 pages
Plaintiffs' Reply Letter Brief	Monday, Nov. 18, 9 A.M. P.T.	Up to 5 pages

Dated: November 8, 2024

JOSEPH SAVERI LAW FIRM, LLP

Joseph R. Saveri (SBN 130064)
Cadio Zirpoli (SBN 179108)
Christopher K.L. Young (SBN 318371)
Holden Benon (SBN 325847)
Aaron Cera (SBN 351163)
Margaux Poueymirou (SBN 356000)
601 California Street, Suite 1505
San Francisco, California 94108
(415) 500-6800
jsaveri@saverilawfirm.com
czirpoli@saverilawfirm.com
cyoung@saverilawfirm.com
hbenon@saverilawfirm.com
acera@saverilawfirm.com
mpoueymirou@saverilawfirm.com

Matthew Butterick (SBN 250953) 1920 Hillhurst Avenue, #406 Los Angeles, CA 90027 (323) 968-2632 mb@buttericklaw.com

CAFFERTY CLOBES MERIWETHER & SPRENGEL LLP

Bryan L. Clobes (*pro hac vice*) 135 S. LaSalle Street, Suite 3210 Chicago, IL 60603 (312) 782-4880 bclobes@caffertyclobes.com Respectfully submitted,

BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP

/s/ Maxwell V. Pritt David Boies (pro hac vice) 333 Main Street Armonk, NY 10504 (914) 749-8200 dboies@bsfllp.com

Maxwell V. Pritt (SBN 253155) Joshua M. Stein (SBN 298856) 44 Montgomery Street, 41st Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 (415) 293-6800 mpritt@bsfllp.com jstein@bsfllp.com

Jesse Panuccio (*pro hac vice*) 1401 New York Ave, NW Washington, DC 20005 (202) 237-2727 jpanuccio@bsfllp.com

Joshua I. Schiller (SBN 330653) David L. Simons (*pro hac vice*) 55 Hudson Yards, 20th Floor New York, NY 10001 (914) 749-8200 jischiller@bsfllp.com dsimons@bsfllp.com

DICELLO LEVITT

David A. Straite (*pro hac vice*) 485 Lexington Avenue, Suite 1001 New York, NY 10017 (646) 933-1000 dstraite@dicellolevitt.com

Amy Keller (pro hac vice)
Nada Djordjevic (pro hac vice)
James A. Ulwick (pro hac vice)
Madeline Hills (pro hac vice)
10 North Dearborn Street, 6th Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60602
(312) 214-7900
akeller@dicellolevitt.com
ndjordjevic@dicellolevitt.com
julwick@dicellolevitt.com
mhills@dicellolevitt.com

LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP

Rachel Geman (*pro hac vice*) 250 Hudson Street, 8th Floor New York, NY 10013 (212) 355-9500 rgeman@lchb.com

COWAN DEBAETS ABRAMS & SHEPPARD LLP

Scott J. Sholder (pro hac vice) CeCe M. Cole 60 Broad Street, 30th Floor New York, NY 10004 (212) 974-7474 ssholder@cdas.com ccole@cdas.com

Counsel for Individual and Representative Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class

Interim Lead Counsel for Individual and Representative Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class